## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Rourke, et al.

Appl. No.

09/664,970

Filing Date

September 18, 2000

Title

APPARATUS FOR DELIVERING ENDOLUMINAL

PROSTHESES AND METHODS OF MAKING AND USING

THEM

Group Art Unit:

3731

Examiner

Docket No.

Ho, Uyen T.

702563.46

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 0 8 2003

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Mail Stop AF

OFFICIAL

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Dear Sir/Madam:

This paper is filed in response to the Interview Summary mailed September 9, 2003.

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On September 9, 2003, Examiner Tan-Uyen T. (Jackie) Ho and the undersigned conducted a telephonic interview concerning the May 21, 2003 Office Action and Applicants' Response filed August 21, 2003. Specifically, the discussion concerned

## CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

Facsimile Number:

(703) 305-3590

Number of Pages:

2

Date:

October 7, 2003

I hereby certify that on the date listed above, this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop: AF, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

odig Davis

DOCSOC1:142773.1

Received from < 9495676710 > at 10/7/03 6:02:45 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Applicant

Appl. No.

Examiner

Docket No.

Rourke, et al

09/664,970

Ho, Uyen T.

702563.46

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 0 8 2003

OFFICIAL

whether the Lenker et al. patent (USP 5,824,041) described or taught a bumper member "having a blunt edge disposed adjacent the proximal end of the prosthesis for preventing axial displacement of the prosthesis upon retraction of the tubular member with respect to the bumper member," as recited in claims 1 and 9 of the present application. Applicants' undersigned representative stated that, as stated in Applicants' August 21, 2003

Response, the Lenker et al. patent described neither a blunt edge nor that such a blunt edge was disposed adjacent the proximal end of the prosthesis. Examiner Ho agreed that the proximal anchor (258) described in the Lenker et al. patent was not located adjacent the proximal end of the prosthesis.

Respectfully submitted,

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Dated: October 7, 2003

7

By:

Charles C. Fowler Reg. No. 39,675

Attorneys for Applicants

4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, California 92614-2558 (949) 567-6700